1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 Case No. 1:21-cv-00844-JLT-EPG BRADLEY MEDINA, et al., 11 ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR Plaintiff. ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF 12 v. (ECF No. 28). 13 COURTNEY L. MAPES, M.D., 14 Defendant. 15 16 On January 19, 2023, Plaintiffs filed a motion for administrative relief requesting that the 17 deadline to designate rebuttal expert witnesses be extended from January 17, 2023, to February 7, 18 2023. (ECF No. 28). According to the declaration of Plaintiffs' counsel, Plaintiffs have chosen 19 and signed a contract with a rebuttal expert witness. (ECF No. 28-1, p. 2). However, Plaintiffs' 20 rebuttal witness requires a retainer. (Id.) Plaintiffs have been unable to facilitate the payment of 21 the retainer because Plaintiff Bradley Medina was hospitalized with a serious case of Covid-19 on 22 January 8, 2023. (Id.; see also ECF No. 28-2). Plaintiffs' counsel further states that she contacted 23 Defendant's counsel to seek an extension, but that Defendant's counsel would only agree to 24 extend the deadline until January 24, 2023. (Id.) As of January 16, 2023, Plaintiffs' counsel is 25

Based on the circumstances explained in the declaration of Plaintiffs' counsel, the Court

unaware of Mr. Medina's condition.

26

27

28

	Case 1:21-cv-00844-JLT-EPG Document 30 Filed 01/20/23 Page 2 of 2
1	will grant Plaintiffs' motion. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the deadline for Plaintiffs to
2	designate rebuttal expert witnesses be extended until February 7, 2023, to allow Plaintiffs time to
3	finalize retention of Plaintiffs' rebuttal witness.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: January 20, 2023 /s/ Encir P. Story
7	Dated: January 20, 2023    S  Company 20, 2023  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	